

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATE: 27-08-2008

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

Writ Petition Nos.5398 and 5399 of 2001

Nachiappan .. Petitioner in
W.P.No.5398 of 2001

Chandra .. Petitioner in
W.P.No.5399 of 2001

Versus

1.The District Registrar,
Karaikkudi, Sivagangai District.

2.The Special Deputy Collector,
Stamps, Collectorate, Trichy.

3.Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road,
Chennai-600 004. .. Respondents in
both the writ petitions.

Prayer in W.P.No.5398 of 2001: This petition has been filed seeking for a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to register the sale deed of petitioner pending registration in Document No.368/99 at the office of the 1st respondent on receipt of the deficit Stamp Duty as per G.O.Ms.No.35, Commercial Tax Department, dated 26.2.1999 from the petitioner.

Prayer in W.P.No.5399 of 2001: This petition has been filed seeking for a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to register the sale deed of petitioner pending registration in Document No.367/99 at the office of the 1st respondent on receipt of the deficit Stamp Duty as per G.O.Ms.No.35, Commercial Tax Department, dated 26.2.1999, from the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.K.Vijayaragavan

For Respondents : Mr.T.Seenivasan

O R D E R

These writ petitions have been filed to direct the respondents to register the sale deeds of the petitioners pending registration under Document Nos.367 and 368/99, at the office of the first respondent on receipt of the deficit stamp duty, as per G.O.Ms.No.35, dated 26.12.1999, from the petitioner.

2. At this stage of the hearing of the writ petitions, the learned counsel for the respondents had submitted that the deficit amount of stamp duty and registration fees for the Document Nos.367 and 368/99 have been collected from the petitioner under the Samadhan Scheme, 2002, and both the documents have been delivered to the parties concerned. Therefore, the writ petitions have become infructuous.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has not refuted the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

4. In view of the above submissions, the writ petitions stand closed as infructuous. No costs.

csh

To

The Presiding Officer,
Principal Labour Court,
Madurai